	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/565,786	ASAOKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chun-Cheng Wang	1796
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Chun-Cheng Wang</u> .	(3)	
(2) Kirk Buhler.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 November 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 8, 13 and 14		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI Change dependency of claims 14 and 14 to claim 8. Minor form		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Chun-Cheng Wang/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)